

HOTS



It is often observed that, when there are tensions between two countries, it ultimately leads to war and terrorism. Movies like Uri and Dhurandhar are examples where, according to the demand of the situation, India has changed its approach regarding the handling of terrorism. Earlier, it was always preferred to solve terrorist activities through meaningful discussion between the countries. But in recent days there has been a shift in this approach. Instead of discussing these nuisance activities, now India directly goes for precision attacks. Real-life events such as Operation Sindoor also reflect this shift in strategy. Without harming the innocent, the root of the problem can be eliminated through aggressive movements.

1. The movies above shows a shift in India's approach in handling terrorism .Can you find a similar change in approach during India's Freedom struggle in the chapter "The

Making of the National Movement"? if yes explain the similarity and reasons for such change. (3)

In your opinion, is India right in changing its approach towards terrorism today? Justify your answer with reasons. (2)

2.In the chapter "The Making of the National Movement," the section on "The Growth of Mass Nationalism" focuses heavily on the arrival and role of Mahatma Gandhi. Explain why Gandhiji's role is introduced specifically at the beginning of the phase of Mass Nationalism" (5)